



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,405	04/14/2006	Frank Erwin Schulte	740116-614	8708

25570

7590

01/29/2009

ROBERT'S MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C.

Intellectual Property Department

P.O. Box 10064

MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064

EXAMINER

WOOD, JONATHAN K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

4137

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/29/2009

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugh@rmsclaw.com

dbeltran@rmsclaw.com

bdiaz@rmsclaw.com

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>Interview Summary</b></p>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/595,405	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SCHULTE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> JONATHAN WOOD	<b>Art Unit</b> 4137	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jonathan Wood.

(3) David Safran.

(2) Kenneth Bomberg.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 22 January 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 19, 42 and 43.

Identification of prior art discussed: O'Neill, US Patent No. 4,371,097.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Agreed that proposed amended claims (attached) obviated the rejection of record but not other art of record, specifically O'Neill. Applicant suggested incorporating dual functionality of reference number 24, guide sleeve, of the application which will need to be considered further by examiner and may require a further search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kenneth Bomberg/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4137